1 RILEY A. CLAYTON Nevada Bar No. 005260 2 rclayton@lawhjc.com TAYLOR G. SELIM Nevada Bar No. 012091 3 tselim@lawhjc.com 4 HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP 5 7425 PEAK DRIVE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89128 6 (702) 316-4111 FAX (702)316-4114 7 Attorneys for Defendant, State Farm 8 Mutual Automobile Insurance Company 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 11 12 CHRISTIAN PANTELAKIS, CASE NO.: 2:13-cv-02310-JAD-NJK 13 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY IN 14 VS. SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON 15 STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE PLAINTIFF'S EXTRA-CONTRACTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, CLAIMS 16 (FIRST REQUEST) Defendant. 17 18 19 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and AGREED between Plaintiff Christian Pantelakis 20 ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, Eglet Law Group, and Defendant State Farm Mutual 21 Automobile Insurance Company ("State Farm"), by and through its attorneys, Hall Jaffe & Clayton, 22 LLP, pending the Court's approval, that the date for Defendant to file its reply in support of Defendant's 23 Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Plaintiff's Extra-Contractual Claims be extended from 24 March 21, 2013 to April 6, 2015. 25 State Farm has previously stipulated to provide Plaintiff with several extensions in filing her 26 opposition. Plaintiff also previously stipulated to allow State Farm to re-file its motion for partial 27 summary judgment in order to attach additional authenticating affidavits. Recently, Plaintiff filed her

opposition to State Farm's motion for partial summary judgment, which also included a counter motion

28

## Case 2:13-cv-02310-JAD-NJK Document 34 Filed 03/24/15 Page 2 of 2

1	for summary judgment. State Farm was not aware that Plaintiff intended on filing a counter motion for
2	summary judgment. It is State Farm's position that the counter motion for summary judgment is
3	untimely and procedurally improper. Plaintiff disagrees with State Farm's position. Despite this
4	disagreement, the parties have worked together amicably in this case, particularly as it relates to briefing
5	deadlines. In light of this disagreement, however, State Farm may file an emergency motion to strike
6	Plaintiff's counter motion for summary judgment or, at the very least, State Farm will need to prepare an
7	opposition to the counter motion in addition to its reply in support of its motion for partial summary
8	judgment. In any event, State Farm needs more time to address Plaintiff's opposition and counter
9	motion. For that reason, the parties have stipulated to provide State Farm with a brief extension with
10	respect to the deadline to file a reply.
11	It is, therefore, stipulated that State Farm shall have until April 6, 2015, to file its reply in support
12	of its motion for party summary judgment.
13	DATED this day of March 2015. DATED this day of March 2015.
14	HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP EGLET LAW GROUP
15	/s/: Taylor G. Selim /s/: Danielle Tarmu
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	Riley A. Clayton, Esq.  Nevada Bar No. 005260  Taylor G. Selim, Esq.  Tracy A. Eglet, Esq.  Nevada Bar No. 006419  Danielle Tarmu, Esq.
18 19	Nevada Bar No. 012091  Nevada Bar No. 011727  7425 Peak Drive  Las Vegas NV 89128  Nevada Bar No. 011727  400 S. Seventh Street, Box 1, Suite 400  Las Vegas NV 89101
	Attorney for Defendant Attorney for Plaintiff
20 21	ORDER
22	Based on the parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing,
23	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the deadline for Defendant State Farm Mutual Automobile
24	Insurance Company's Response to Plaintiff's Opposition to the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment is
25	extended to April 6, 2015.
26	DATED this 24th day of March 2015.
27	
28	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2